1	CITY OF HOBOKEN
2	PLANNING BOARD SUBDIVISION & SITE PLAN REVIEW
3	
4	SUBDIVISION and SITE PLAN REVIEW : July 13, 2016
5	COMMITTEE MEETING OF THE CITY : 7:10 p.m.
6	X
7	
8	
9	Held At: 94 Washington Street Hoboken, New Jersey
10	noboken, New Jersey
11	
12	BEFORE:
13	Chairman Gary Holtzman Vice Chair Frank Magaletta
14	Commissioner Ryan Peene
15	ALSO PRESENT:
16	Andrew R. Hipolit, PE, PP, CME
17	Board Engineer
18	David Glynn Roberts, AICP/PP, LLA, RLA Board Planner
19	Board Framer
20	Patricia Carcone, Board Secretary
21	
22	
23	PHYLLIS T. LEWIS
24	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER CERTIFIED REALTIME COURT REPORTER
25	(732) 735-4522

1	A	Ρ	Р	E A R A N C E S:
2				DENNIS M. GALVIN, ESQUIRE 730 Brewers Bridge Road
3				Jackson, New Jersey 08527 (732) 364-3011
4				Attorney for the Board.
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	INDEX	
2		
3		PAGE
4		
5	206 Jefferson Street	5
6	302 Garden Street	14
7	527-529 Monroe Street	35
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: We are going to get
2	started, gentlemen.
3	Folks?
4	It is Wednesday, July 13th, 2016. It
5	is 7:10 p.m. This is the Planning Board Subdivision
6	and Site Plan Review Committee Meeting.
7	I would like to advise all of those
8	present that notice of this meeting has been
9	provided to the public in accordance with the
10	provisions of the Open Public Meetings Act, and that
11	notice was published in The Jersey Journal and on
12	the city's website. Copies were also provided to
13	The Star-Ledger, The Record, and placed on the
14	bulletin board in the lobby of City Hall.
15	Pat, please call the roll.
16	MS. CARCONE: Commissioner Holtzman?
17	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Here.
18	MS. CARCONE: Commissioner Magaletta?
19	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Here.
20	MS. CARCONE: And Commissioner Peene?
21	COMMISSIONER PEENE: Here.
22	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Thank you.
23	(Continue on the next page)
24	

1	CITY OF HOBOKEN
2	Subdivision & Site Plan Review HOP-16-14
3	X
4	RE: 206 Jefferson Street : July 13, 2016 Block 39, Lots 4, 32.1, 32.02 and 35 :
5	Applicant: Jefferson Lofts, LLC : 7:10 p.m. Preliminary Major Site Plan & :
	Subdivision Review :
6	X
7	
8	
9	
10	Held At: 94 Washington Street Hoboken, New Jersey
11	
12	
13	BEFORE:
14	Chairman Gary Holtzman Vice Chair Frank Magaletta
15	Commissioner Ryan Peene
16	ALSO PRESENT:
17	Andrew R. Hipolit, PE, PP, CME
18	Board Engineer
19	David Glynn Roberts, AICP/PP, LLA, RLA Board Planner
20	
21	Patricia Carcone, Board Secretary
22	
23	
د ی	PHYLLIS T. LEWIS
24	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
25	CERTIFIED REALTIME COURT REPORTER (732) 735-4522

1	APPEARANCES:
2	DENNIS M. GALVIN, ESQUIRE 730 Brewers Bridge Road
3	Jackson, New Jersey 08527 (732) 364-3011
4	Attorney for the Board.
5	ALSO PRESENT:
6	Frank Minervini
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
L7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: So the first item
2	on our agenda is 206 Jefferson, which we received a
3	notice from Mr. Burke.
4	Dave, can you give us a little
5	background on this?
6	MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: They pulled the
8	application for this evening.
9	MR. ROBERTS: They pulled the
10	application, right.
11	Basically we had called out in our
12	review for the SSP that having two principal
13	buildings on the same lot required a variance, so I
14	think it is Section 196-22(c), which basically is
15	it's in the supplemental regulations. It just says
16	the only place you can have two buildings on one lot
17	is in a PUD.
18	So we called it out as a variance. At
19	the time when I wrote the letter, because it is in
20	the lot regulations, supplementary lot regulations,
21	I thought it might be a C.
22	When I asked whether it was a C or a D,
23	we looked into it. It turns out actually the
24	Municipal Land Use Law in the (d)(1) section says
25	any use or principal structure that is not permitted

```
1 is a (d)(1) variance.
```

- 2 So effectively, we made a determination
- 3 that it required a variance.
- 4 They have a couple of different ways
- 5 that they can remedy that situation, so that it
- 6 doesn't apply. They can either subdivide the
- 7 property and put a different building on each lot.
- 8 They can join the buildings. That probably would be
- 9 less probable of that, so they are regrouping and
- they are going to reapply when they got that figured
- 11 out.
- 12 CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay. So Mr. Burke
- is not here this evening.
- 14 Mr. Minervini is here. Is there
- 15 anything that you want to tell us about this or --
- 16 MR. MINERVINI: No. We thought it
- 17 would probably -- it would be a C variance. So now
- that it is a D, it will take us to the Zoning Board,
- 19 so we are going subdivide the properties, have one
- 20 building on either and come back.
- 21 CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay. Great.
- Thank you.
- The second item on our agenda is 302
- 24 Garden Street.
- 25 MS. CARCONE: So, Gary, excuse me. We

1	are just going to deem that incomplete just to get
2	us off any
3	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Is it withdrawn?
4	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Is it actually
5	withdrawn?
6	MR. ROBERTS: There should be a
7	letter
8	MS. CARCONE: Yeah. It says that they
9	are going to resubmit. But just for purposes of
LO	tonight, we are just going to deem them incomplete
L1	because
L2	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: I think that is
L3	probably a good form, so
L4	MR. ROBERTS: You should deem them
L5	incomplete.
L6	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Right. So,
L7	gentlemen
L8	MR. GALVIN: Well, let me just
L9	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Go ahead, Dave.
20	MR. GALVIN: Mr. Minervini is still
21	here.
22	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Mr. Minervini is
23	here, yes.
24	MR. GALVIN: In Mr. Burke's letter, he

was hinting that he was looking for like a bargain

```
1 price for like when we split up these two
```

- applications, it is like, well, you know, what
- 3 happens.
- When you have two applications, you
- 5 have two separate application fees. You have two
- 6 separate escrow fees, so there is no way to salvage
- 7 this fee-wise.
- 8 MR. MATULE: There's no twofer?
- 9 (Laughter)
- MR. GALVIN: Yeah. We are not giving
- 11 out bargains.
- 12 MR. MINERVINI: I think the client
- 13 understands that.
- 14 MR. GALVIN: It's probably now more
- money because now you have to subdivide --
- MR. MINERVINI: Yes.
- MR. GALVIN: -- if you have to
- 18 subdivide.
- MR. MINERVINI: Yes, that's understood.
- I think, I'm not sure -- I didn't read
- 21 his letter, but we were hoping that we could present
- them together because they are related in several
- important ways.
- MR. GALVIN: The answer is it depends.
- I don't know that we can make that determination

1 yet.

2 MR. MINERVINI: Of course.

3 MR. GALVIN: We've had Verizon. We
4 have had Verizon cases, where the testimony was
5 almost identical, then, yes, I agree with that.

are the same case.

8

- almost identical, then, yes, I agree with that. But
 I would have to see how they're -- other than being
 located next to each other, I don't know that they
- 9 MR. ROBERTS: And I think there is
 10 going to be a subdivision involved one way or
 11 another with maybe a third property, right, so --
- MR. MINERVINI: No. Those are already subdivided.
- I think you can determine it when the plans are submitted. My feeling is that when you see them, you might feel differently.
- MR. GALVIN: Yeah, yeah. If there's like two things, they look the same, they have all of the same variance relief, maybe.
- MR. MINERVINI: Well, not that it
 matters now, we can talk about it at another time,
 but that's not the case. It is shared amenities.
 One property sort of touches another. You know, I
 think you have to see it.

MR. GALVIN: Well, look --

1	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: You understand the
2	concerns, so just make sure you address it when you
3	come for a completion meeting.
4	MR. MINERVINI: Understood.
5	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: So then, gentlemen,
6	are we all in agreement for purposes of 206
7	Jefferson, that we will deem that incomplete at this
8	point?
9	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER PEENE: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Yes.
12	So we'll formally, I guess Pat will
13	notice them on that, that it is deemed incomplete,
14	and we'll wait for a new subdivision.
15	MS. CARCONE: Yes.
16	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
17	(The matter concluded)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, PHYLLIS T. LEWIS, a Certified Court
4	Reporter, Certified Realtime Court Reporter, and
5	Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, do hereby
6	certify the foregoing is a true and accurate
7	transcript of the testimony as taken
8	stenographically by and before me at the time, place
9	and date hereinbefore set forth.
10	
11	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
12	a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel to
13	any of the parties to this action, and that I am
14	neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or
15	counsel, and that I am not financially interested in
16	the action.
17	
18	s/Phyllis T. Lewis, CCR, CRCR
19	
20	PHYLLIS T. LEWIS, C.C.R. XI01333 C.R.C.R. 30XR15300 Notary Public of the State of New Jersey
21	My commission expires 11/5/2020. Dated: 7/13/16
22	This transcript was prepared in accordance with NJAC 13:43-5.9.
23	
24	

1	CITY OF HOBOKEN
2	Subdivision & Site Plan Review HOP-16-15
3	X
4	RE: 302 Garden Street : July 13, 2016
4	Block 179, Lot 42 : 7:10 p.m.
5	Minor Site Plan Review & Variances :
6	X
7	
8	
9	Held At: 94 Washington Street
10	Hoboken, New Jersey
11	
12	BEFORE:
13	Chairman Gary Holtzman Vice Chair Frank Magaletta
14	Commissioner Ryan Peene
15	ALSO PRESENT:
16	
17	Andrew R. Hipolit, PE, PP, CME Board Engineer
18	David Glynn Roberts, AICP/PP, LLA, RLA
19	Board Planner
20	Patricia Carcone, Board Secretary
21	
22	
23	PHYLLIS T. LEWIS
24	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER CERTIFIED REALTIME COURT REPORTER
4 4	(732) 735-4522
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	DENNIS M. GALVIN, ESQUIRE 730 Brewers Bridge Road
3	Jackson, New Jersey 08527 (732) 364-3011
4	Attorney for the Board.
5	DODEDE O MARIJE ECOLIDE
6	ROBERT C. MATULE, ESQUIRE Two Hudson Place (5th Floor
7	Hoboken, New Jersey 07030 Attorney for the Applicant.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: So now we are back
2	to 302 Garden, Mr. Matule.
3	MR. MATULE: Yes. Good evening.
4	Yes. This is an application. This
5	property was previously before the Zoning Board,
6	where the application for variances was denied and a
7	companion appeal of the zoning officer's
8	determination was withdrawn.
9	It has now been refiled with the
10	Planning Board. We do have some C variances, and
11	Mr. Nastasi is the architect on the project.
12	We have the Maser letters. I have also
13	spoken with Mr. Hipolit about an environmental
14	report on the property.
15	My client generally uses Atlantic
16	Environmental Services to have the properties
17	surveyed when he buys them. This is not necessarily
18	a Phase I, because this property had substantial
19	building coverage on it at the time he bought it,
20	but we will provide some type of report to Mr.
21	Hipolit.
22	MR. HIPOLIT: And I had Joe, my
23	environmental engineer, just kind of search the
24	property, and he didn't come up with any major red

flags. It's more just historic fill type issues

```
1
         that's typically in Hoboken.
 2
                      I think if Mr. Matule's applicant or
 3
         his client could provide a letter from his
 4
         environmental engineer of this sort, and Joe just
 5
         did a full search of it, and as long as we don't
         find anything other than historic fill, it would be
 6
 7
         fine.
 8
                      CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Right. The concern
 9
         is that there was a dry cleaner there, but I
10
         understand that it was more of a drop shop, that
11
         there was no processing on site, so --
12
                      MR. MATULE: No processing on site.
13
                      CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: -- right.
14
                      MR. HIPOLIT: And if we can get that
15
         kind of stuff in a letter, that will suffice.
16
                      MR. MATULE: I know years ago, like 50
         or 60 or 70, because we had a -- as a matter of
17
         fact, Atlantic Environmental did a -- what do you
18
19
         call the maps?
20
                      The name is escaping me.
21
                      MR. HIPOLIT:
                                    Sanborn Maps?
22
                      MR. MATULE: Sanborn. They did a
         Sanborn Map search back to like 1900, and I think
23
         there was like an auto repair garage in the back of
24
```

the place in the thirties or something, but --

1	MR. HIPOLIT: Okay. So he should put
2	that all in a letter form to us, and that would
3	suffice.
4	MR. MATULE: I have that report
5	somewhere, and I'll get it for you.
6	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Yes. Let's just
7	make sure we get it on the record. We want to make
8	sure the record is complete with that.
9	MR. MATULE: I know there was also some
10	comments about the bay, the front bay.
11	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: There were a number
12	of comments. We are going to go through them. That
13	is the process, right, Mr. Matule?
14	It is not our first night.
15	(Laughter)
16	MR. MATULE: No. So
17	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Mr. Nastasi, did
18	you want to lead us off there at all or
19	MR. MATULE: I will turn it over to Mr.
20	Nastasi oh, one thing, though, that I can address
21	anyway. It's not an architectural issue, but the
22	planning report referred to a fire escape on the
23	back of the building.
24	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Right.

MR. MATULE: Mr. Ochab I think when he

- 1 revised his report for this application, he didn't
- 2 cull that out in the previous application, which did
- 3 have a fire escape on the back.
- 4 CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Oh, okay. I got
- 5 you.
- 6 MR. MATULE: So I will have him correct
- 7 that. There's no fire escape on the back of the
- 8 building.
- 9 CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: No. I'm sure there
- 10 isn't.
- 11 CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Dave, did you want
- to jump in on your report?
- 13 There were a couple of callouts.
- 14 Obviously the fire escape thing --
- 15 MR. ROBERTS: Right. And I think I
- noted that a number of these things have already
- been taken care of. The survey we received. It is
- 18 last updated in 2016.
- 19 CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
- 20 MR. ROBERTS: There is a dimension on
- 21 the rear stair, and I think it is exactly three
- feet, so that has been taken care of.
- There is also the building depth is
- dimensioned. It is 42 feet, John, if I remember
- correctly. The 39.6 is the inside dimension, so

1	that we know for sure that it is 42.
2	One thing that is not called out in the
3	letter, but I want to get a confirmation from John
4	on is we normally have been asking for the building
5	coverage diagram. This building appears to be,
6	because the lot is only 28-by-70
7	MR. HIPOLIT: It's a hundred percent.
8	MR. ROBERTS: well, no, the new
9	box the proposed it is effectively a box.
LO	It's 28-by-42, so that actually is exactly 60
L1	percent.
L2	The reason I wanted to make sure I
L3	wanted to let this committee decide whether they
L4	still want to have that silhouette diagram or
L5	confirm with the architect that it is a square.
L6	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: You know what
L7	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: You can do it.
L8	It is easy enough.
L9	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Thank you.
20	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Thank you.
21	MR. MATULE: We will provide it.
22	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: And make that
23	correction on the building dimension.
24	MR. ROBERTS: Right.

CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: My question for

1	you, Dave, was this: In your report it said that
2	there is a variance required for the nonconforming
3	lot size, which I thought that we had gotten away
4	from that, so that we are minimizing the variance
5	request.
6	Is there a way, are we able to in any
7	way offer some type of like, I guess it is a
8	certificate of nonconformity to avoid having to add
9	the additional variance request?
LO	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: I think if you
L1	just cite to the ordinance, which now says if it is
L2	a preexisting condition, you don't need a
L3	variance I think
L4	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: I am trying to
L5	reduce the ask here.
L6	MR. ROBERTS: Do you want me to deal
L7	with it or
L8	MR. GALVIN: No. Go ahead. Keep
L9	going.
20	MR. ROBERTS: The way it is worded, and
21	we have been putting this in every single letter,
22	and I know Councilman Doyle brought it up a number
23	of times at the hearings, it effectively says, and I

will just read it quickly. This is the new language

for the new ordinance:

24

Τ	"Lots that do not conform as to width,
2	depth or square feet requirements" so that would
3	be most of the ones we'll be getting "set forth
4	in the chapter that are lawfully preexisting lots
5	not created by variance" which would make it
6	nonconforming legal "and in existence on the
7	effective date of this chapter or that become so as
8	a result of any amendment hereto may be built upon
9	and existing structures" which we get some
10	times "already" located thereon "may be altered
11	without variance" and this is the important
12	part "provided any structure or alteration
13	conforms with the standards for height" which we
14	often get variances of "yard setbacks and lot
15	coverage" which we sometimes get variances of
16	"pursuant to Chapter 196 In such cases bulk
17	requirement percentages, where applicable, shall be
18	applied instead of feet."
19	So effectively, it is saying you can
20	build on those lots as long as you don't need
21	variances, and that is why we have been calling it
22	out because every single situation, where we had a
23	nonconforming lot, we also had variances, so that
24	has been our position.

(Commissioner Jacobson present)

1	MS. CARCONE: You should sit back
2	there.
3	MR. GALVIN: Do you guys get it, that
4	there are conditions to that ordinance?
5	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: If you have a
6	variance, then you need a variance for the lot size.
7	I understand.
8	MR. GALVIN: Okay.
9	MR. ROBERTS: So that is the way we
10	have been interpreting
11	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: That's sort of
12	like
13	MR. ROBERTS: and that is why it has
14	been in the resolutions
15	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: it is like a
16	double safety, right?
17	MR. GALVIN: I understand what you are
18	saying, because if you didn't have those other
19	needed variances, then it wouldn't trigger this
20	variance, right?
21	So if you did a perfect job on the lot,
22	on an undersized lot, you would be able to build
23	MR. ROBERTS: Right.
24	MR. GALVIN: so it has nothing to do

with us.

1	In other words, if you walked into the
2	building department and the zoning office and you
3	were going to build something that had an undersized
4	lot, but you could comply with these other side yard
5	height and
6	MR. ROBERTS: Where as before you had
7	to go to the Zoning Board, if you were a
8	single-family house.
9	MR. GALVIN: Okay.
10	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay. We're trying
11	to make it easier.
12	MR. ROBERTS: Yeah, and there may be
13	and there probably is another way to.
14	If the intent was to wipe out the
15	nonconforming standards all together by saying you
16	don't need to ask for a variance for a building on a
17	nonconforming lot, then we would probably word that
18	differently
19	MR. GALVIN: I don't think it really
20	it doesn't really hurt anybody that it's there. It
21	is not slowing anybody down.
22	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay. Good.
23	I know in Andy's letter, John, there
24	were a couple of callouts about the first floor

height elevation that really struck $\ensuremath{\mathsf{me}}\xspace$, and the

```
1
         elevation discrepancies between the plans and the
 2
         survey.
 3
                      MR. NASTASI: I don't think we are
 4
         changing the ground floor elevation --
 5
                      MR. HIPOLIT: It's just that some of
         this stuff was a little bit unclear. We can talk
 6
 7
         about where -- just some clarity --
 8
                      CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Were the numbers
 9
         picked up incorrectly from the survey to the plans?
10
                      MR. HIPOLIT: Probably. I think that
11
         may be between the new survey, and I think it is
12
         going to be okay, but we should clarify those
13
         numbers.
14
                      MR. NASTASI: Yes, we will.
15
                      MR. HIPOLIT: Okay. Because when we
16
         go -- when you look at the flood plain management
         stuff, it could affect that, too. I don't think it
17
         does. I think if the numbers are right across the
18
         board --
19
                      CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: The intention is to
2.0
21
         build it at grade.
22
                      MR. NASTASI: Right, and we have three
         dimension -- in the new survey we have 8.89 --
23
24
                      CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: They'll take a look
```

at the numbers and make sure we get them squared

1	away.
2	MR. NASTASI: Absolutely.
3	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Thank you.
4	MR. HIPOLIT: Then in our letter we
5	talked already about the Phase I.
6	What I would like to just highlight as
7	far as the floodproofing in the building, the
8	commercial spaces are going to be dry floodproofed,
9	and the residential access should be wet
10	floodproofed obviously.
11	MR. NASTASI: Yes.
12	MR. HIPOLIT: There was a previous
13	letter issued on this back in November of '15
14	MR. MATULE: That was for the previous
15	application.
16	MR. HIPOLIT: Yeah. It will be
17	updated, but, you know, that is pretty consistent
18	with what you need as far as flood plain management.
19	And as far as our letter, most of the
20	stuff is more cleanup stuff than anything. I don't
21	really have
22	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Details for the
23	landscaping, details for stormwater calcs
24	MR. ROBERTS: Correct.
25	Those are in both of our letters.

1	And the other thing that's common is a
2	question about apparently there was a cellar of some
3	sort
4	MR. HIPOLIT: Yeah. It's got to be
5	shown
6	MR. ROBERTS: is that going to be
7	filled?
8	There was a callout on both letters
9	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Is that a
10	confirmation there, John?
11	MR. NASTASI: It is being filed in.
12	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
13	MR. NASTASI: And then also George
14	Gloede is working on the stormwater management plan,
15	so a lot of those other comments will be picked up
16	as well.
17	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
18	MR. HIPOLIT: And he called us, and we
19	met with him, so we talked about some of those
20	issues.
21	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
22	I know there are two things just sort
23	of fair warning, you know, nobody needs surprises,
24	of the two and a half foot bay window extensions,
25	the team normally has a conversation about those, so

Τ	you should be prepared to have a conversation about
2	those or potentially offer additional options.
3	MR. NASTASI: I actually brought with
4	me, although I know I'm not presenting it tonight,
5	but I brought with me modifications to push the bay
6	back at the recommendation of the Board
7	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
8	MR. HIPOLIT: I would just revise I
9	would provide those plans during the hearing.
LO	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Yeah. Make sure
L1	they are in I mean, are you so is it your
L2	proposal then to make an adjustment from the two and
L3	a half foot plan that we have in front of us now?
L4	MR. NASTASI: Yes.
L5	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
L6	MR. NATASI: So we are going to revise
L7	that bay to go back to the 12-inch bay.
L8	MR. HIPOLIT: Okay.
L9	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Which normally has
20	been what the Board has been comfortable with.
21	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: We're leaning
22	towards, yeah.
23	MR. ROBERTS: Mr. Chairman, there was
24	also some comments. There's some apparently other

detail in that ordinance that Andy called out about

```
1
         the angle and the width of the bay. I think there
 2
         was a maximum of eight feet in width, and there was
 3
         something about the right angle, so that might be
 4
         something -- when you do that to --
 5
                      CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: The angle?
                      MR. ROBERTS: -- I am not familiar
 6
         with --
 7
 8
                      MR. MATULE: I think that is in the
         Section 19 -- I want to say Chapter 164 --
 9
10
                      MR. HIPOLIT: 164.
11
                      MR. MATULE: -- where they talk about
12
         streets and sidewalks, where they talk about doing
13
         it as of right --
14
                      MR. HIPOLIT: I don't know whether that
15
         applies or not.
                      MR. MATULE: -- so I think the fact
16
17
         that we are here, and we are going to ask for an
         easement ordinance from the city addresses that as
18
19
         opposed to doing it --
20
                      MR. ROBERTS: I just saw it as a
21
         callout in Andy's letter --
22
                      MR. HIPOLIT: I think you are right.
         If you are here addressing it, I think it is fine.
23
24
                      CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay. Then there
```

was the lower roof deck fifth floor element?

1	MR. ROBERTS: Well, that might change
2	if the
3	MR. NASTASI: I think it is going to be
4	a planter now because it is 12 inches
5	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay. So you are
6	reacting to some of the letters and some of the
7	feedback.
8	MR. NASTASI: Absolutely.
9	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
LO	Anything else, Andy?
L1	Okay. Gentleman?
L2	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Wasn't there a
13	question about the density calculation that you had?
L4	MR. ROBERTS: Yes. That was really
L5	just a matter of when we were talking about two
L6	residential units or three, and it is definitely
L7	two.
L8	With the commercial space, you round up
L9	to three units total, but two units are
20	residential
21	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Okay. That's
22	fine. That's what I thought
23	MR. ROBERTS: but there is really no
24	jurisdictional issue. It's just how it was

described.

1	And Mr. Matule pointed out that because
2	we now measure height and not the number of stories,
3	that you can actually, if you can fit another floor,
4	you increase your building square footage, so that
5	changes the calculation, but it's still a total of
6	three units, one commercial, two residential.
7	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Okay.
8	I don't have any further questions.
9	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Anything else, Mr.
10	Peene?
11	COMMISSIONER PEENE: No.
12	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Want to deem this
13	complete, gentlemen?
14	COMMISSIONER PEENE: Yes.
15	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Yes, with those
16	things you discussed, yes.
17	COMMISSIONER PEENE: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Great.
19	MR. MATULE: What is the calendar like?
20	MS. CARCONE: On September 6th.
21	MR. MATULE: What?
22	MS. CARCONE: September. September
23	6th.
24	MR. MATULE: September 6th?

MS. CARCONE: Yes.

1	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: The summer goes
2	fast.
3	MS. CARCONE: Yes.
4	MR. GALVIN: Get your winter coat out.
5	MR. NASTASI: That is depressing.
6	MS. CARCONE: It is.
7	MR. NASTASI: That is depressing.
8	MR. MATULE: That will give us plenty
9	of time to make the revisions
10	MR. GALVIN: It is still going to be
11	hot in the first week of September.
12	MR. HIPOLIT: It just goes to show you
13	it is almost Christmas.
14	(Laughter)
15	MS. CARCONE: Yeah, happy shopping
16	days.
17	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Thank you,
18	gentlemen.
19	MR. NASTASI: I'm going to be at the
20	beach, so I can't make an August meeting
21	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: We're going to
22	have it in August
23	(Laughter)
24	MR. GALVIN: Well, I'm glad we could

hook you up.

1	COMMISSIONER PEENE: You are going to
2	have to skype from Capri.
3	(Laughter)
4	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Excellent.
5	Thank you, guys.
6	(The matter concluded)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, PHYLLIS T. LEWIS, a Certified Court
4	Reporter, Certified Realtime Court Reporter, and
5	Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
7	transcript of the testimony as taken
8	stenographically by and before me at the time, place
9	and date hereinbefore set forth.
LO	
L1	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
L2	a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel to
L3	any of the parties to this action, and that I am
L4	neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or
L5	counsel, and that I am not financially interested in
L6	the action.
L7	
L8	s/Phyllis T. Lewis, CCR, CRCR
L9	
20	PHYLLIS T. LEWIS, C.C.R. XI01333 C.R.C.R. 30XR15300 Notary Public of the State of New Jersey
21	My commission expires 11/5/2020. Dated: 7/13/16
22	This transcript was prepared in accordance with NJAC 13:43-5.9.
23	
24	

1	CITY OF HOBOKEN
2	Subdivision & Site Plan Review HOP-16-16
3	X
4	RE: 527-529 Monroe Street : July 13, 2016 Block 67, Lots 14 and 15 :
4	Applicant: 527 Monroe Street, LLC : 7:20 p.m.
5	Minor Site Plan Review & Variances :
6	Λ
7	
8	
9	Held At: 94 Washington Street
10	Hoboken, New Jersey
11	
12	BEFORE:
13	Chairman Gary Holtzman Vice Chair Frank Magaletta
14	Commissioner Ryan Peene
15	ALSO PRESENT:
16	
17	Andrew R. Hipolit, PE, PP, CME Board Engineer
18	David Glynn Roberts, AICP/PP, LLA, RLA Board Planner
19	Board Franker
20	Patricia Carcone, Board Secretary
21	
22	
23	PHYLLIS T. LEWIS
24	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER CERTIFIED REALTIME COURT REPORTER
4 T	(732) 735-4522
25	

1	A P P	E A R A N C E S:
2		DENNIS M. GALVIN, ESQUIRE
3		730 Brewers Bridge Road Jackson, New Jersey 08527
4		(732) 364-3011 Attorney for the Board.
5		ROBERT C. MATULE, ESQUIRE
6		Two Hudson Place (5th Floor) Hoboken, New Jersey 07030
7		Attorney for the Applicant.
8	лт С	O PRESENT:
9	АГР	
10		Frank Minervini, Architect
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: So the next item
2	is let's keep moving, right?
3	We have four minutes to finish this.
4	527-529 Monroe, here we go.
5	MR. MATULE: Good evening.
6	All right. Frank, why don't you
7	address the Maser letters?
8	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: So you guys came
9	back. You made a couple of quick adjustments,
LO	mostly to the left side of the building, and here we
11	are, and to the back.
L2	MR. MINERVINI: We reduced the lot
L3	coverage and reduced the depth of the balcony, which
L4	removed two variances.
L5	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
L6	MR. MINERVINI: Andy's letter, there is
L7	nothing in there that I think we can't very quickly
L8	easily address.
L9	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay.
20	Mr. Roberts?
21	MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Just a couple of
22	just double check the notes on the balconies.
23	MR. MINERVINI: Yes. I did see that.
24	I still have a dimension showing the old

dimension --

1	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Okay. So just a
2	little cleanup work on
3	MR. ROBERTS: The square footage as
4	well.
5	MR. MINERVINI: Yes.
6	MR. ROBERTS: And then also the section
7	still should show the eight feet
8	MR. MINERVINI: Yes, I will correct the
9	balcony
10	MR. ROBERTS: so just go through and
11	clean it up, and I think you will be fine.
12	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Great.
13	Nothing else?
14	MR. ROBERTS: No.
15	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Great.
16	Gentlemen, any questions here?
17	We've obviously seen this recently.
18	I'm pretty familiar with this one.
19	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: It's fine.
20	CHAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Deem this complete?
21	COMMISSIONER PEENE: Yes.
22	VICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Yes.
23	MR. MATULE: September 6th?
24	MS. CARCONE: September 6th.
25	(Discussion held off the record)

1	Co	DMMISSIONER PEENE: Chairman, motion
2	to adjourn the	Site Plan Committee Meeting.
3	V	ICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Second.
4	CI	HAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: All in favor?
5	()	All Board members answered in the
6	affirmative)	
7	CI	HAIRMAN HOLTZMAN: Thank you.
8	So	you are stepping off, Frank?
9	V	ICE CHAIR MAGALETTA: Yes.
LO	(5	The meeting concluded)
L1		
L2		
L3		
L4		
L5		
L6		
L7		
L8		
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, PHYLLIS T. LEWIS, a Certified Court
4	Reporter, Certified Realtime Court Reporter, and
5	Notary Public of the State of New Jersey, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate
7	transcript of the proceedings as taken
8	stenographically by and before me at the time, place
9	and date hereinbefore set forth.
10	
11	I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
12	a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel to
13	any of the parties to this action, and that I am
14	neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or
15	counsel, and that I am not financially interested in
16	the action.
17	
18	s/Phyllis T. Lewis, CCR, CRCR
19	
20	PHYLLIS T. LEWIS, C.C.R. XI01333 C.R.C.R. 30XR15300 Notary Public of the State of New Jersey
21	My commission expires 11/5/2020. Dated: 7/13/16
22	This transcript was prepared in accordance with NJAC 13:43-5.9.
23	
24	